

PATENT APPLICATION
IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of

Docket No: Q78997

Peter WIEDENBERG

Appln. No.: 10/773,433

Group Art Unit: 2173

Confirmation No.: 1231

Examiner: Ting Zhou

Filed: February 9, 2004

For: **METHOD FOR AUTOMATICALLY CREATING AT LEAST ONE DIALOG BOX ON AN OPERATOR INTERFACE OF A COMPUTER USER STATION**

STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Supplementing the Amendment filed on April 15, 2009, Applicant files the present Statement of Substance of Interview. Please review and enter the following remarks summarizing the interview conducted on January 9, 2009:

REMARKS

An Examiner's Interview Summary Record (PTO-413) was attached to the Office Action dated January 21, 2009.

The interview was initiated by the Examiner. Therefore, no further recordation by the Applicant is believed to be required. Applicant notes that not all participants are listed in the Interview Summary of January 21, 2009. In addition to Examiner Ting Zhou and Falk Ewers, George F. Lehnigk (Registration No. 36,359) also participated in the telephonic interview on January 9, 2009.

During the interview, the following was discussed:

1. Brief description of exhibits or demonstration: None
2. Identification of claims discussed: Claims 1, 10 and 11.
3. Identification of art discussed: None
4. Identification of principal proposed amendments: The Examiner proposed amending claim 1 by incorporating the subject matter of claim 11 into claim 1 and changing the term “installation process” in claim 11 to “process installation” for consistency. The Examiner further proposed canceling claims 10 and 11.
5. Brief Identification of principal arguments: The Examiner indicated that the proposed Amendments “would make claim 1 more complete.” The Examiner could not, however, provide any specific reference that would necessitate the proposed Amendment, and instead merely stated in general terms that she believes that such references exist.
6. Indication of other pertinent matters discussed: None
7. Results of Interview: In view of the Examiner’s inability to identify a particular reference or reason for further narrowing the claims and in order to ensure that any relevant references are made of record, Applicant’s representatives instructed the Examiner to prosecute the application in the ordinary manner and issue either a Notice of Allowance or a new Office Action.

It is respectfully submitted that the instant STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW complies with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. §§1.2 and 1.133 and MPEP §713.04.

It is believed that no petition or fee is required. However, if the USPTO deems otherwise, Applicant hereby petitions for any extension of time which may be required to maintain the pendency of this case, and any required fee, except for the Issue Fee, for such extension is to be charged to Deposit Account No. 19-4880.

Respectfully submitted,



George F. Lehnigk
Registration No. 36,359

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC
Telephone: (202) 293-7060
Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE

23373

CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: April 16, 2009